LETTERS 2003 Vol. 5, No. 17 3099–3101

ORGANIC

Enantioselective Rh-Catalyzed Hydrogenation of Vinyl Carboxylates with Monodentate Phosphite Ligands[†]

Manfred T. Reetz,* Lukas J. Goossen,* Andreas Meiswinkel, Jens Paetzold, and Jakob Feldthusen Jensen

Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, D-45470 Mülheim/Ruhr, Germany

reetz@mpi-muelheim.mpg.de; goossen@mpi-muelheim.mpg.de

Received June 13, 2003

ABSTRACT



Alkyl-substituted vinylcarboxylates, which normally show poor enantioselectivity in Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation with traditional chiral diphosphines, undergo highly enantioselective reactions with BINOL- and carbohydrate-based monophosphite ligands.

The asymmetric Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of enol esters with formation of chiral esters constitutes an alternative to the enantioselective reduction of the corresponding prochiral ketones. Moreover, enol esters are not only accessible from ketones, but also from alkynes by metal-catalyzed reaction with carboxylic acids.¹ A particularly mild and efficient version of the latter reaction $1 + 2 \rightarrow 3$, using commercially available [(*p*-cymene)RuCl₂]₂PR₃, was recently reported by one of us (Scheme 1, top).²



The goal of the present study was to test compounds of the type 3 in our previously described method of Rh-

catalyzed asymmetric olefin hydrogenation using cheap and readily accessible BINOL-derived monodentate phosphites (Scheme 1, bottom).³ Other groups have already reported efficient ligand systems for the hydrogenation of enol acetates, although high enantioselectivities (ee > 90%) were restricted to substrates bearing aryl, vinyl, or trifluoromethyl groups at the olefinic function.⁴ In the case of alkyl residues, enantioselectivity is consistently mediocre (e.g., 64% ee in the case of the hydrogenation of **3** with $R = n-C_7H_{15}$ and R'= CH₃).⁵ In the present study we focus on this problem. To tune the reaction, we envisioned the variation of the nature of the R' group in **3** as well as optimization of the modular chiral monophosphite **6** employed as the ligand in the Rh

(2) Goossen, L. J.; Paetzold, J.; Koley, D. Chem. Commun. (Cambridge, U.K.) 2003, 706-707.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Dedicated to Professor Dr. Helmut Schwarz on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

^{10.1021/}ol035076p CCC: \$25.00 © 2003 American Chemical Society Published on Web 07/25/2003

 ^{(1) (}a) Rotem, M.; Shvo, Y. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1689–1691. (b) Mitsudo, T.; Hori, Y.; Watanabe, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1566–1568.
(c) Mitsudo, T.; Hori, Y.; Yamakawa, Y.; Watanabe, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2230–2239. (d) Doucet, H.; Höfer, J.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 850–851. (e) Doucet, H.; Martin-Vaca, B.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 7247– 7255. (f) Doucet, H.; Derrien, N.; Kabouche, Z.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 551, 151–157. (g) Bruneau, C.; Neveux-Duflos, M.; Dixneuf, P. H. Green Chem. 1999, 1, 183–185.

^{(3) (}a) Reetz, M. T.; Mehler, G. Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4047–4049; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3889–3890. (b) Reetz, M. T.; Sell, T.; Meiswinkel, A.; Mehler, G. Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 814–817; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 790–793. (c) Chen, W.; Xiao, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2897–2899. (d) Komarov, I. V.; Börner, A. Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1237–1240; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1197–1200.

catalyst (Scheme 2). Specifically, we use chiral carbohydratebased alcohols in the synthesis of the phosphites.



In exploratory experiments the benzoate **3a** was hydrogenated by using a selected number of previously described phosphites **6a**-**h** in addition to several new carbohydratebased derivatives **7a**-**h**. The synthesis of the latter ligands proceeded without any problems in analogy to the reaction shown in Scheme 2.³ The carbohydrate alcohols are all commercially available. In all cases the cationic rhodium catalyst was prepared in situ by treating Rh(cod)₂BF₄ (cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) with 2 equiv of a monodentate ligand **6** or **7**, in full analogy to our previous studies.³



The results of these experiments are summarized in Table 1. Most of the simple phosphites lead to low degrees of

D.1.1. 1	D1 (1 1	TT 1 /*	C 2 - a
I able I.	Kn-catalyzed	Hydrogenation	01 3a "

entry	ligand	conversion, ^b %	<i>ee</i> , % (config of product) ^b
1	6a (<i>R</i>)	100	21.8 (<i>S</i>)
2	6b (<i>R</i>)	40	25.2 (<i>S</i>)
3	6c (<i>R</i>)	100	31.6 (<i>S</i>)
4	6d (<i>R</i>)	100	20.8 (<i>S</i>)
5	6e (<i>R</i>)	100	39.4 (<i>S</i>)
6	6f (<i>S</i>)	100	43.8 (<i>R</i>)
7	6g (<i>R</i>)	100	64.8 (<i>S</i>)
8	6h (<i>R</i>)	100	37.4 (<i>S</i>)
9	7a	100	86.4 (<i>S</i>)
10	7b	100	12.8 (<i>R</i>)
11	7c	100	39.2 (<i>S</i>)
12	7d	82	23.0 (<i>S</i>)
13	7e	100	68.0 (<i>S</i>)
14	7f	100	17.2 (<i>R</i>)
15	7g	100	51.2 (<i>S</i>)
16	7h	100	4.2 (<i>R</i>)

^{*a*} Catalyst prepared in situ from Rh(cod)₂BF₄ and 2 equiv of ligand **6** or **7** in CH₂Cl₂; substrate:catalyst = 200:1; 60 bar H₂; 30 °C; 20 h. ^{*b*} Determined by GC.

enantioselectivity, with the exception of the neopentylderived ligand **6g** (entry 7). The ee value of 64.8% is respectable for this type of transformation (ee upon using BINAP: 13.6%), although it is of no practical value. In the case of the carbohydrate-derived ligands, a remarkable trend evolves. In all instances a pronounced difference in the matched versus mismatched combinations becomes apparent (entries 9–16), a phenomenon that has not been previously observed with other substrates and other chiral phosphites containing two sources of chirality.³ The best case involves the use of phosphite **7a** prepared from *R*-BINOL and a glucose derivative, resulting in an ee value of 86.4% (entry 9).

We then studied the effect of the nature of the acid **2** used in the synthesis of **3**, although not all of the phosphite ligands were tested. Table 2 shows that this type of influence is rather

Table 2.	Rh-Catalyzed	Hydrogenation	of 3b – e ^{<i>a</i>}
----------	--------------	---------------	---

entry	substrate	ligand	conversion, ^b %	<i>ee</i> , % (config of product) ^b
1	3b	7a	100	73.6 (<i>S</i>)
2	3b	7b	93	31.6 (<i>R</i>)
3	3c	7a	100	74.4 (<i>S</i>)
4	3c	7b	88	6.2 (<i>R</i>)
5	3d	7a	100	41.6 (<i>S</i>)
6	3d	7b	44	10.4 (<i>S</i>)
7	3e	7a	100	90.4 (<i>S</i>)
8	3e ^c	7a	100	94.0 (<i>S</i>)
9	$3e^{c,d}$	7a	100	93.0 (<i>S</i>)
10	3e	7b	76	22.0 (<i>R</i>)

 a The same conditions were used as already described in Table 1. b Determined by GC. c This reaction was carried out at $-20\,$ °C. d The substrate:catalyst ratio was increased to 500:1.

^{(4) (}a) Ohkuma, T.; Kitamura, M.; Noyori, R. In *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*; Ojima, I., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2000; pp 1–110. (b) Tang, W.; Liu, D.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 205–207. (c) Wu, S.; Wang, W.; Tang, W.; Lin, M.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 4495–4497. (d) Chi, Y.; Zhang, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 4849–4852. (e) Li, W.; Zhang, Z.; Xiao, D.; Zhang, X. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3489–3496. (f) Jiang, Q.; Xiao, D.; Zhang, Z.; Cao, P.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 578–580; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 516–518. (g) Ohta, T.; Miyake, T.; Seido, N.; Kumobayashi, H.; Takaya, H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 357–363. (h) Le Gendre, P.; Braun, T.; Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8453–8455. (i) Burk, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8518–1519.

⁽⁵⁾ Boaz, N. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5505-5508.

pronounced, the highest enantioselectivity (ee = 90.4%) resulting from the use of substrate **3e** derived from furan-2-carboxylic acid in combination with ligand **7a** (entry 7). Upon reducing the temperature to -20 °C, enantioselectivity increases to ee = 94.0%. Hydrogenation of 2-hexanone is not a better alternative since the most effective ligand (Penn PHOS) leads to an ee value of only 75%.⁶ Moreover, the analogous BINOL-derived phosphoramidite⁷ is also not well suited in these cases (<10% conversion of **3a** under the standard reaction conditions as defined in Table 1).

The beneficial effect of using furan-2-carboxylic acid also arises when employing ligands other than 7. An example concerns the hydrogenation of 3e with ligand 6d, which results in an ee value of 41.2% (*S*). In contrast, the hydrogenation of the corresponding benzoate 3a employing the same ligand leads to an enantioselectivity of only 20.8% (Table 1, entry 4).

Upon turning to the even more difficult substrates 3f-h having an ethyl substituent at the olefinic function, a similar trend was observed (Table 3). Again, ligand 7a turned out to be most effective, specifically in the hydrogenation of the furanyl substrate 3h, leading to ee = 83.6% at room temperature and 88.6% at -20 °C (entries 6-8). Currently it is difficult to pinpoint the reason for enhanced enantiose-lectivity when using substrates derived from furan-2-carboxylic acid.

In summary, we have demonstrated that by tuning the nature of the carboxylate as well as varying the chiral

Tabla 2	Dh ootolwaad	Undrogonation	of 2f_h a
I able 5.	Rn-catalyzed	Hydrogenation	$01 \mathrm{sm}^{-}\mathrm{m}^{\circ}$

entry	substrate	ligand	conversion, ^b %	<i>ee</i> , % (config of product) ^b
1	3f	7a	100	80.4 (<i>S</i>)
2	3f	7b	79	10.8 (<i>R</i>)
3	3g	7a	100	71.6 (<i>S</i>) ^{<i>c</i>}
4	3g	7b	100	4.8 (R) ^c
5	3h	7a	100	83.6 (<i>S</i>)
6	$\mathbf{3h}^d$	7a	100	88.4 (<i>S</i>)
7	$\mathbf{3h}^{d,e}$	7a	99	88.6 (<i>S</i>)
8	3h	7b	66	34.2 (<i>R</i>)

 a The same conditions were used as already described in Table 1. b Determined by GC. c Configurational assignment based on analogy. d This reaction was carried out at -20 °C. e The substrate: catalyst ratio was increased to 500:1.

monophosphite ligand the rhodium-catalyzed hydrogenation of particularly difficult vinyl carboxylates **3** can be performed with high enantioselectivity. Since the vinyl carboxylates are accessible not only from ketones, but also by the Rucatalyzed addition of carboxylic acids to alkynes, interesting synthetic possibilities arise.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for generous support.

Supporting Information Available: Spectral data of all new ligands as well as a typical procedure for hydrogenation. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL035076P

⁽⁶⁾ Jiang, Q.; Xiao, D.; Cao, P.; Zhang, X. Angew. Chem. **1998**, 110, 1203–1207; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 1100–1103.

^{(7) (}a) van den Berg, M.; Minnaard, A. J.; Schudde, E. P.; van Esch, J.; de Vries, A. H. M.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 11539–11540. (b) Peña, D.; Minnaard, A. J.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 14552–14553. (c) Peña, D.; Minnaard, A. J.; de Vries, A. H. M.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 475–478.